



SOUTHERN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY OF TELANGANA LIMITED

From
Chief Engineer (IPC&RAC),
TGSPDCL, Corporate Office,
6-1-50, Mint Compound,
Hyderabad – 500 063.

To
~~The~~ Commission Secretary,
TGERC,
Vidyut Niyantan Bhavan, GTS Colony
Hyderabad – 500 045.

Lr. No.CE(IPC&RAC)/DE(IPC)/F.No. /D.No. 4356/25-26, Dt: 06-01-2026

Sir,

Sub:- TGSPDCL- IPC – Replies to the objections/suggestions raised by stakeholders on petition for seeking consent from Hon'ble TGERC for procurement of a share of 800MW from the 2400MW (3X800MW) of Telangana Super Thermal Power Station (Telangana STPP) Stage-II instead of procurement of 800MW exclusively from one unit and approval to the draft PPA signed by TGDISCOMs with NTPC for procurement of a share of 800MW power from 2400MW (3X800MW) Telangana STPP Stage-II for a period of 25 years vide I.A. No.39 of 2025 in O.P. No. 31 of 2025 - Submission - Reg.

With reference to the subject cited above, the replies to the objections/suggestions raised by the stakeholders on aforesaid subject are herewith enclosed with a request to kindly place the same before the Hon'ble Commission for approval and to afford an opportunity to submit further replies (if any) during the public hearing to be held on 08.01.2026.

Encl: As above

Yours faithfully,

Chief Engineer (IPC&RAC),
Name: B.Ravi
Designation: CE (IPC&RAC)
Mobile No: Ph: 8712468147
email Id: cgm_ipc@tgssouthernpower.org

Southern Power Distribution Company of Telangana Ltd. (TGSPDCL)



Responses to Objections / Suggestions filed by Sri. M.Venugopala Rao

On

Procurement of 800MW from NTPC TSTPP Stage-II

S1.No.	Name and other details of the Objector
1	M. Venugopala Rao Senior Journalist & Convener, Centre for Power Studies H.No.1-100/MP/101, Monarch Prestige, Journalists' Colony, Serilingampally Mandal , Hyderabad - 500 032

Sri. M. Venugopala Rao

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
1	I request the Hon'ble Commission to condone delay in submitting objections and suggestions on the subject issue for the reasons submitted in our letter to the Commission dated 1.1.2026 and take my submissions on record.	Matter of record
2	TGSPDCL and TGSNDCL have sought consent of the Hon'ble Commission to procure a share of 800 MW from the 2400 MW (3x800 MW) Telangana STPP stage II, instead of procurement of 800 MW exclusively from one unit, and approval to the draft power purchase agreement signed by both the DISCOMs with NTPC Limited for procuring 800 MW from stage II of the project accordingly for a period of 25 years. The way the petition is filed and PPA signed shows that the DISCOMs, obviously, with the permission or direction of the government of Telangana, buckled under pressure of the government of India and NTPC much to the detriment of the state and consumers of the two DISCOMs at large, with giving scope for the GoI to thrust unwarranted power on the state.	Govt. of Telangana and TGDISCOMs have filed a petition for revising the procurement from single 800MW unit of NTPC TSTPP St-2 to 800MW of 2400MW of same plant because NTPC has clarified separation of single unit is not feasible technically and commercially. As such, TGDISCOMs, TGPPCC and Govt. of Telangana didn't find any financial detriment to DISCOMs by procuring 800MW out of 2400MW. Instead TGDISCOMs would benefit by availing power during outages of units, which is not possible in case of procurement from single unit. This will help TGDISCOMs to reduce costlier market purchases.

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
3	<p>As per the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Reorganization Act, 2014, NTPC is required to establish a 4000 MW power facility in the successor state of Telangana. For procurement of 1600 MW (2x800) under stage I, PPA was signed on 18.1.2016 and amended on 9.4.2021 to which consent was accorded by TGERC on 25.8.2021. Instead of 100% capacity, 85% of capacity only was allotted to TGDISCOMs. The very fact that a PPA was not signed between TGDISCOMs and NTPC for the entire 4000 MW and that the first PPA was confined to the first stage (1600 MW) indicates that TGDISCOMs can seek procurement of power out of the 4000 MW in a phased manner based on their requirements. Moreover, there has been no obligation on the part of TGDISCOMs to procure 4000 MW from NTPC under TSTPP stages I and II as per the proposals or demands of NTPC.</p>	<p>We would like to submit that TGDISCOMs are not interested to procure complete 4000MW from NTPC TSTPP St-II because some units of 4000MW of TGENCO YTPS are already commissioned and others are expected to be commissioned shortly. The 800MW procurement from NTPC TSTPP St-II is planned based on the demand and supply projections to meet the base load.</p>
4	<p>It is also a fact that for the PPA of stage I, TGERC had directed the parties thereto to bring about several amendments as suggested by us then, but NTPC adamantly refused to abide by the directions of the</p>	<p>Telangana DISCOMs have entered into the agreement after due internal deliberations, assessment of long-term power requirements, and consideration of grid reliability and consumer</p>

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
	<p>Commission and had its way, with the TGERC giving its consent to the PPA, without the amendments. It once again confirmed how NTPC has been riding roughshod over the states, forcing their DISCOMs to sign on dotted lines, as dictated by it. A similar supercilious approach of NTPC is evident in forcing TGDISCOMs to sign the subject PPA.</p>	<p>interest. The agreement has been executed through an established regulatory and approval process and not under any compulsion or coercion.</p> <p>It is further submitted that differences in perspectives on contractual provisions are inherent in large-scale power procurement arrangements involving Central and State entities. Such issues are addressed within the institutional and regulatory framework provided under the Electricity Act, 2003, and do not indicate any unilateral imposition by any party.</p> <p>The Telangana DISCOMs remain guided by the directions of this Hon'ble Commission and are committed to ensuring reliable, economical, and secure power supply to the consumers of the State.</p>
5	<p>In response to the communication of NTPC seeking DISCOMs' consent for availing power from TSTPP stage II, government of Telangana, in its letter dated</p>	<p>The primary reason for the Government insisting on procurement of power exclusively from 1x800 MW and connecting the unit to TGTRANSCO</p>

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
	<p>5.10.2024, accorded consent for availing power from one unit (1x800 MW) TSTPP stage II, with certain conditions like NTPC connecting the unit to TGTRANS CO network only, as against power offered by NTPC from 3 units of stage II. After further correspondence, GoTS, in its letter dated 22.10.2025 accorded its approval for availing a share of 800 MW from 3x800 MW TSTPP stage II, in lieu of allocation from unit I of stage II, as proposed by TGPPCC.</p>	<p>network is to avoid inter-State transmission charges and associated losses. However, even now, share of 800MW from 2400MW is being evacuated directly through STU network and as per the prevailing regulations, state share of power is factored in the direct drawl by state and therefore interstate transmission charges and losses are not applicable for the same.</p>
6	<p>If, out of 3 units of 800 MW each of stage II of TSTPP, NTPC supplies to TGDISCOMs 800 MW only, what will it do with the remaining 1600 MW is a moot point and there is no clinching clarity on it. If it is presumed that NTPC sells the remaining 1600 MW to others, there should not be any problem to it to allocate 800 MW to TGDISCOMs from unit 1 of stage II, as originally proposed by TGDISCOMs. The reasons like development of common facilities and getting approvals for the three units do not come in the way of allocating 800 MW from unit I of stage II to TGDISCOMs.</p>	<p>NTPC has submitted the following:</p> <p>“Telangana Super Thermal Power Project Stage-II has been conceptualized as a 3x800 MW integrated station, with all statutory clearances, environmental approvals, fuel linkage, transmission planning and financial closure undertaken for the station as a whole. It was also informed that the Stage-II (3x800 MW) configuration has been designed with common Coal Handling Plant (CHP), Ash Handling Plant (AHP), and water systems catering to all three generating units. It is therefore technically,</p>

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
		<p>commercially and contractually infeasible to isolate one 800 MW unit for exclusive long-term procurement.”</p> <p>However, PPA executed by TGDISCOMs is for 800 MW only. The un-tied capacity is at the disposal of NTPC for sale elsewhere.</p>
7	The very fact that GoTS has given its consent for procurement of 800 MW only from stage II of TSTPP as late as on 22.10.2025 confirms that that capacity is enough for meeting requirement of the state in the near future and that the balance 1600 MW is not required.	We would like to submit that TGDISCOMs have filed the petition for only 800MW out of 2400MW. Based on the demand supply projections and base load demand for the state, TGDISCOMs only need 800MW from NTPC TSTPP St-II.
8	<p>Some of the conditions in the draft PPA are drafted so ingeniously that, through the GoI, NTPC can compel TGDISCOMs to enter into PPAs to procure entire or 85% of 2400 MW under stage II of TSTPP as is convenient to it. We request the Hon’ble Commission to examine the following points, among others:</p> <p>a. Clause 2.1.1. of draft PPA says: “the capacity of Telangana-II is proposed to be 3x800 MW. The capacity of the Station is subject to change after placement of</p>	<p>The primary objective of the public hearing is to examine and validate all clauses of the Power Purchase Agreement and to assess whether they are beneficial to all stakeholders. Any amendments, modifications, or directions issued by the respective regulatory body pursuant to such examination shall be duly complied with and incorporated accordingly.</p> <p>a. With reference to Clause 2.1.1, although the</p>

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
	<p>orders for the main plant equipment.” It implies that the proposed capacity of stage II can be changed below or above 2400 MW. Second, NTPC has not yet placed orders for the main plant equipment and it is open to it to place orders for the main plant equipment with facility to change the proposed capacity.</p> <p>b. Clause 2.2.1 says: “allocation of capacity from the Station to the State of Telangana shall be as decided by Ministry of Power, Govt. of India. Telangana DISCOMs consented for purchase of 800 MW power from Telangana II station for the state of Telangana. Allocation of capacity to TGNPDCL (TGSPDCL) shall be made, subsequently, by the State Govt./State Electricity Regulatory Commission or any other competent authority as the case may be.” In other words, if consent is given to the draft PPA, as it is, whatever capacity Ministry of Power, GoI, decides to allocate to the state of Telangana, the latter will have to accept, irrespective of requirement of that power, and</p>	<p>installed capacity of the Station is indicated as 2400 MW (3×800 MW) and may vary depending on final configuration, it may be noted that the Telangana DISCOMs have consented to procure power limited to 800 MW only from Telangana Stage-II. Accordingly, the financial obligation and share in the capital and other related expenditures of the Telangana DISCOMs shall be restricted strictly to the extent of the contracted capacity of 800 MW.</p> <p>b. In view of this scenario, it is proposed to modify the Title of the PPA and Clause 2 (General) as below, in order to avoid future complications.</p> <p>Title:</p> <p>POWER PURCHASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN NTPC LIMITED AND Southern Power Distribution Company of Telangana Limited (TGSPDCL/ TGSPDCL) FOR Procurement of 800 MW only from TELANGANA SUPER THERMAL POWER</p>

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
	<p>all that GoTS and TGERC can do is to reallocate that capacity between TGSPDCL and TGNPDCL. It serves the purpose of NTPC at the cost of the state of Telangana and consumers of its DISCOMs.</p> <p>c. Clause 2.2.2 says: "Further, out of total capacity of the station, 15% (fifteen percent) capacity will be kept unallocated at the disposal of Govt. of India/Competent Authority and shall be subject to allocation from time to time as per the decision of GOI/Competent authority and such further allocation will form part of contracted capacity." In other words, if GoI allocates a part of 15% of the capacity of stage II to TGDISCOMs, that allocation also will form part of contracted capacity and the latter willy-nilly have to procure that capacity also, irrespective of their requirement.</p> <p>d. Clause 2.2.3 says: "the allocation made from station(s) by GoI or any other competent authority in favour of TGNPDCL (TGSPDCL) shall be Contracted Capacity for the purpose of this Agreement. This</p>	<p>STATION, Stage-II (3x800MW).</p> <p>Clause 2.2.1:</p> <p>Allocation of capacity from the Station to the State of Telangana shall be 800 MW only as consented by Telangana DISCOMs. Allocation of capacity to TGSPDCL shall be made, subsequently, by the State Govt./ State Electricity Regulatory Commission or any other competent authority as the case may be.</p> <p>It is humbly submitted to the Hon'ble Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission that the above modifications to the Power Purchase Agreement may kindly be approved, or such suitable amendments as deemed fit may be issued, which the Telangana DISCOMs shall duly comply with.</p> <p>c. In the absence of any specific allocation out of the unallocated quota of a new CGS unit/ power station by the MoP, its unallocated quota power</p>

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
	<p>Contracted Capacity shall be over and above any other allocation from time to time by GoI to Telangana from various Stations of NTPC including this Station.” In other words, this clause reemphasizes that whatever capacity GoI allocates under stage II of TSTPP to TGDISCOMs shall be the contracted capacity, not just 800 MW, as proposed. Incorporation of such sweeping clauses in a PPA, as well as its acceptance by GoTS and TGDISCOMs, is nothing but the latter agreeing to the GoI for riding roughshod over them.</p>	<p>will be added to the existing unallocated power pool of the concerned region and distributed among the beneficiaries of the pool in the proportion approved by the MoP.</p> <p>Accordingly, in NTPC Stage-I, the 85% of the entire contracted capacity is tied up with Telangana. Unallocated 15% is distributed among the SRPC entities.</p> <p>It's a MoP policy to allocate unallocated power of 15% to the states in need.</p> <p>It is proposed to delete the Clause 2.2.3. Hon'ble Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission may kindly approve, or such suitable amendments as deemed fit may be issued, which the Telangana DISCOMs shall duly comply with.</p>
9	<p>Simply because GoI, NTPC, GoTS and TGDISCOMs have agreed to the said clauses and sections in the draft PPA, Hon'ble TGERC need not give its consent to the PPA, as it is. It has to exercise its regulatory authority to ensure that interests of consumers of TGDISCOMs are protected in a prudent way. We</p>	<p>In the purview of Hon'ble TGERC.</p> <p>a. The surplus and deficit patterns of the state are dynamic. For instance, the state has surplus energy during the solar hours and deficit in peak and night hours. The fact</p>

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
	<p>request the Hon'ble Commission to examine the following points, among others:</p> <p>a. For the year 2025-26, TGDISCOMs had projected availability of a surplus of 28504 MU. Availability of how much surplus energy the DISCOMs have projected for FY 2026-27 needs to be examined. In view of projected availability of abnormal quantum of surplus power by TGDISCOMs, and actual trends of demand growth, not on procurement plan, etc., approved for the 5th control period, when the proposed 800 MW from the subject stage II would be required in a balanced way to avoid availability of unwarranted surplus power needs to be determined. In the subject petition, the DISCOMs have not made any attempt to justify requirement of 800 MW from stage II.</p> <p>b. Section 15 of the draft PPA says: "this agreement shall come into force from the date of signing of this Agreement for all purposes and intent and shall remain and shall remain operative up to completion of twenty-</p>	<p>that TGDISCOMs have surplus doesn't mean that they have surplus for the entire day in a year. Instead, TGDISCOMs have both surplus and deficit within the same day in different time blocks.</p> <p>b, c and d: NTPC, in their mail dated: 30.10.2025, clarified that the Unit-1 of COD is scheduled after 52 months from the date of availability of 100% PPA.</p> <p>CoD of subsequent units scheduled at an interval of 6 months (Copy enclosed).</p>

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
	<p>five (25) years from the date of commercial operation of last unit of the Station unless it is specifically extended on mutually agreed terms.” There is no time schedule prescribed for completion of stage II of TSTPP, as well as declaration of commercial operation date of each unit, mentioned in the draft PPA. No penalty is prescribed for delay in completion of the project and commencement of supply of 800 MW. In other words, TGDISCOMs have to draw 800 MW as and when NTPC is ready to supply, not from any specific date from which TGDISCOMs require that power.</p> <p>c. That the PPA shall remain operative up to completion of 25 years from the date of commercial operation of last unit of the Station means that TGDISCOMs will have to wait to draw 800 MW till CoD of the last unit of TSTPP stage II is declared, though NTPC will be able to supply it after declaration of CoD of the first unit. Are TGDISCOMs not in a position to specify from which date they require supply of this 800 MW and insist on NTPC to specify CoD of the first unit?</p>	

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
	<p>d. That operation of PPA comes into force from the commercial operation of last unit of stage II of TSTPP means that NTPC wants to fob off 85% of capacity of the three units on TGDISCOMs through allocation by GoI. Otherwise, there is no point in not proposing to allocate and commence supply of power from unit I after declaration of its CoD. Commencement of supply of power from unit I, after declaration of CoD of unit III would result in keeping the capacities of first and second units idle till then, thereby wasting capacities created till then and incurring losses.</p> <p>e. Tariff for the 800 MW would be determined by CERC. There will be uncertainty about this tariff. No tentative tariff is indicated by NTPC based on detailed project, projected capital cost, source of coal supply, etc. In other words, GoTS and TGDISCOMs are in the dark and expect the Hon'ble Commission to give its consent for procurement of 800 MW as proposed in the draft PPA in a mechanical way.</p>	<p>e. Sections 62 and 64 of the Electricity Act, 2003 provides that the tariff shall be determined by the Appropriate Commission after the generating company submits an application for tariff determination under Section 62, and after following the procedure prescribed under Section 64 and the applicable regulations. In respect of the article related to Tariff, provisions are identical in both NTPC Stage-I and Stage-II PPAs.</p> <p>f and g: In the Purview of the Hon'ble TGERC.</p>

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
	<p>f. When did the DISCOMs have submitted the subject petition and draft PPA is not made public, though the petitions are dated 28.11.2025. From the papers uploaded in the website of the Commission, there is no indication that the latter has sought relevant clarifications and information from the DISCOMs to meet its regulatory requirements to take a holistic view of the issue.</p> <p>g. Simply because GoI, NTPC, GoTS and TGDISCOMs have come to an understanding on the subject issue, Hon'ble TGERC need not give its consent, as sought by them. Rejecting the proposals of APDISCOMs to procure 168 MW of hydroelectric power from five units of NHPC and its subsidiaries, Hon'ble APERC, in its order dated 25.6.2025, has maintained that "the Commission is not inclined to approve the power procurement proposals under long-term power purchase agreements with NHPC and its joint ventures." It is significant that APERC has observed in</p>	<p>h and i.</p> <p>We would like to submit that the case mentioned in the comment is different from the present scenario. Since TGDISCOMs are already procuring 800MW from NTPC TSTPP stage-II, there wouldn't be additional allocation</p>

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
	<p>its order that “the main point for consideration by the Commission is the need for the procurement of power from NHPC projects, as the tariff shown is only indicative and is to be determined by the CERC based on actual data.”</p> <p>h. In the retail supply tariff order for APDISCOMs for the FY 2025-26, Hon’ble APERC asserted that “this Commission passed the orders dated 30/10/2023, not approving the PPAs with four generating stations (NTPC Kudgi, NTECL Vallur, NTPL-Tuticorin & NNTPS-Nyveli), remains in effect as there is no stay order from APTEL as replied by DISCOMS to the stakeholders. The DISCOMS continuing power procurement from these stations pending adjudication in Hon’ble APTEL. The Commission in FPPCA Orders treated this power similar to short-term power. The DISCOMS, in their filings, reiterated their stand on these stations and wrote a letter dated 30.01.2025 to consider under the power procurement plan for FY205-26. As there is no change in the stand of the DISCOMS and the</p>	<p>to ensure states get power from all the plants. In fact, by not procuring power from TSTPP phase-II, there would be chances for allocation from unallocated pool of CGS plants.</p> <p>However, in view of the scenario in APDISCOMs it is proposed to modify the Title and Clause 2: General of the PPA as below, in order to avoid future complications.</p> <p>POWER PURCHASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN NTPC LIMITED AND Southern Power Distribution Company of Telangana Limited (TGSPDCL/TGSPDCL) FOR Procurement of 800 MW only from TELANGANA SUPER THERMAL POWER STATION, Stage-II (3x800MW).</p> <p>Clause 2.2.1: Allocation of capacity from the Station to the State of Telangana shall be 800 MW only as consented by Telangana DISCOMs.</p> <p><i>Allocation of capacity to TGSPDCL shall be made, subsequently, by the State Govt./ State Electricity Regulatory Commission or any other competent</i></p>

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
	<p>Commission, it is not inclined to change its stand and, accordingly, not included in the power procurement plan for FY2025-26. In the context of the letter of the DISCOMS, they are at liberty to seek appropriate legal remedies to prove their stand right" (page 65). The considerations of APERC in not approving PPAs with these four CGSs by APDISCOMs include their higher tariffs and non-requirement of that power by the DISCOMs.</p> <p>i. In response to directive No.9 of APERC, AP DISCOMs have submitted in their ARR and tariff revision petitions for the FY 2026-27 that "the Commission has disallowed power purchase from 4 Nos. CGS stations, i.e., NTPC Kudgi, NTECL Valuru, NNTPS, and NTPL in RSTO FY 22-23, FY 23-24 and also PPA for these stations has also been rejected vide order dt. 30.10.2023. Further, Hon'ble APERC has not approved energy dispatch from these stations in RSTO 2024-25 also. These stations have a share of 500MW (Appx) of contracted capacity. M/s NTPC and APDISCOMs have</p>	<p><i>authority as the case may be.</i></p> <p>i. In the present case, the Hon'ble Commission has already examined the power procurement proposal submitted by TGDISCOMs and approved the same in O.P. 31 of 2025, dated:17.05.2025.</p> <p>Moreover, The DISCOMs are bound by the regulations issued by the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission and the respective State Electricity Regulatory Commissions, as well as all notifications and guidelines issued by the Ministry</p>

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
	<p>approached Hon'ble APTEL regarding the above issues as it is required to meet the base load, and the matter is sub judice. APDISCOMs have already emphasised the importance of procuring from these four stations during the RSTO FY 22-23, FY 23-24 and FY2024-25 hearings. Though the Commission has disallowed the power purchases from these stations, until there is complete relinquishment with MoP approval, the Discoms are liable to pay fixed charges and part load compensations. Hence, Power is still procured from these stations to meet the grid demand. It is also to submit that, during FY2024-25, in spite of scheduling all stations based on merit order, there was a shortfall in power and the same was procured from market at higher costs in certain time blocks. If the power from the above said stations is not scheduled, there would have been huge power purchase cost for the FY2024-25 as the quantum of power is high and the requirement is round the clock. In order to optimize the power purchase cost, the scheduling of power from these 4 No. CGS stations are continued. Further, the payment</p>	<p>of Power. Any violation of the applicable regulations, directions, or guidelines attracts penalties and consequences for the concerned stakeholders, as provided under the Electricity Act, 2003.</p>

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
	<p>mechanism through markets is different than through long-term PPAs. The payments for the purchase of power in Exchanges have to be done immediately as per the byelaws of Exchanges and whereas that for long term basis, the payments can be made up to 45 days from the date of the invoice which is will be generated after the completion of the month in which the power is scheduled.” Even while projecting availability of a surplus of 7228.76 MU, which is highly underestimated, the contention of the DISCOMs that “the existing base generation capacity from Intra State & Central generating stations without these four CGS stations aggregating to about 470 MW, will not be sufficient to meet the minimum load persistent on the system for all time blocks in an year with a stringent requirement to comply to the Hon’ble CERC Regulations such as IEGC, DSM & Ancillary Services” may not hold water. The regulations of CERC are not binding on the DISCOMs. Backing down thermal power projects of APGENCO, paying fixed charges for the capacities backed down, and purchasing thermal power</p>	

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
	<p>from the said four CGSs, with the burden of inter-state transmission charges and losses, may not be justifiable and beneficial. Moreover, APDISCOMs have asserted that there will be no need for short-term purchases for the FY 2026-27. The contention of APDISCOMs that though the Commission has disallowed the power purchases from these stations, until there is complete relinquishment with MoP approval, the Discoms are liable to pay fixed charges and part load compensations is contrary to the stand taken by APERC.</p>	
10	<p>We request the Hon'ble Commission to consider the following points, among others:</p> <p>a. Direct the DISCOMs to establish requirement of 800 MW from stage II of TSTPP and from which period.</p> <p>b. If this 800 MW is required, direct NTPC and TGDISCOMs to amend the PPA to the effect that it would be procured from the first unit of stage II.</p>	<p>Hon'ble Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission may kindly pass suitable orders as deemed fit, which the Telangana DISCOMs shall duly comply with.</p>

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
	<p>c. Direct NTPC and TGDISCOMs to indicate tentative tariff to be proposed for 800 MW for the first unit of stage II based on DPR, projected capital cost and source of coal, etc.</p> <p>d. Direct NTPC and TGDISCOMs to incorporate specific time schedule for declaration of CoD of the first unit of Stage II. Also, incorporate a specific clause for imposition of reasonable penalty on NTPC for delay in declaring CoD of the first unit of Stage II in time.</p> <p>e. Direct NTPC and TGDISCOMs to amend the above-quoted clauses and sections in the draft PPA to the effect that the PPA is confined to the first unit of stage II only, that, too, for 800 MW only and that other clauses and sections to the contrary and left to the decisions of GoI or competent authority for allocation of capacity should be deleted from the draft PPA.</p> <p>f. It should be made clear that allocation of power from the second and third units can be made as and when</p>	

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
	<p>TGDISCOMs and NTPC come to a mutual agreement.</p> <p>g. Direct NTPC and TGDISCOMs to incorporate a clause in the draft PPA to the effect that, if NTPC fails to supply power at threshold level of PLF, for lesser</p>	
11	<p>We request the Hon'ble Commission to take an appropriate decision, considering the above-mentioned suggestions, among others, to avoid saddling TGDISCOMs and their consumers with unwarranted power and the avoidable burdens resultant thereto. If NTPC does not agree to the above-mentioned reasonable suggestions, we request the Commission to reject the subject petition. There are and will be other options available to GoTS and TGDISCOMs for procurement of power required through real competitive biddings. A.P. Reorganization Act does not make it obligatory on the part of GoTS to procure power from the subject project of NTPC at any tariff and with any terms and conditions imposed arbitrarily by the GoI and NTPC much to the detriment of the interests of the state and consumers of power of TGDISCOMs.</p>	<p>Hon'ble Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission may kindly pass suitable orders as deemed fit, which the Telangana DISCOMs shall duly comply with.</p>

Sl.No.	Objections/Suggestions	Response of licensee
12.	<p>We request the Hon'ble Commission to provide us an opportunity to make further submissions during the scheduled public hearing through virtual mode by providing me a link, as I will be held up on the 8th of this month elsewhere due to unavoidable and necessary requirement.</p>	



Superintending Engineer <seipctsspdcl@gmail.com>

Fwd: Expected CoD of Unit 1 of TSTPP Stage-II

1 message

ED Commercial <edcommercialspcc@gmail.com>
To: Superintending Engineer <seipctsspdcl@gmail.com>

Tue, Jan 6, 2026 at 11:43 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Vijaya Kumar Jella** <JVKUMAR@ntpc.co.in>
Date: Fri, Sep 19, 2025 at 11:59 AM
Subject: Re: Expected CoD of Unit 1 of TSTPP Stage-II
To: ED Commercial <edcommercialspcc@gmail.com>
Cc: ROHIT CHHABRA <ROHITCHHABRA@ntpc.co.in>

Madam/Sir,

In reference to the trailing mail, Expected COD of the Telangana-II project:

Unit #1 COD is scheduled after 52 months from the date of availability of 100% PPA. COD of the subsequent units scheduled at an interval of 6 months.

Kind Regards,

VIJAYA KUMAR JELLA
DGM (Commercial)
NTPC Limited - SRHQs
Mobile: +91 9440804260.

From: ED Commercial <edcommercialspcc@gmail.com>**Sent:** Tuesday, September 16, 2025 3:23 PM**To:** Vijaya Kumar Jella <JVKUMAR@NTPC.CO.IN>; VIJAYA KUMAR JELLA <vijayjella444@gmail.com>; ROHIT CHHABRA <ROHITCHHABRA@NTPC.CO.IN>**Subject:** Expected CoD of Unit 1 of TSTPP Stage-II

CAUTION: This Email has been sent from outside the Organization. Unless you trust the sender, Don't click links or open attachments as it may be a Phishing email, which can steal your Information and compromise your Computer.

Sir,

TGSLDC has sought information on the planned annual capacity expansion limits of Telangana State up to 2035-36, as requested by CEA vide their mail dated 31.07.2025 for conducting Resource Adequacy studies.

Therefore, it is requested to inform the COD of Unit #1 of NTPC TSTPP Stage-II in light of the applicable CERC regulations, to furnish the date to CEA at the earliest:

Regards,

Executive Director,

Comml, TGPCC



Date: 30.10.2025

Ref. No.01:CD:

To,
The Executive Director,
TGPCC,
Vidyut Soudha,
Hyderabad.

Subject: Clarification on Scheduled COD of Telangana STPP Stage-II (3x800 MW)

Sir,

This is in reference to your letter dated 07.10.2025, wherein TGPCC has requested to adhere to the timelines stipulated under the CERC Tariff Regulations, 2009 for the commencement of commercial operation of the Telangana-II Project. In this regard it may please be noted that the provisions cited from the 2009 Regulations are no longer applicable and the same have been superseded by the CERC (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2024. There is no prescribed benchmark timeline for the commencement of commercial operation under the prevailing CERC (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2024.

The Investment Approval for Telangana STPP Stage-II (3x800 MW) provides an implementation schedule of 52 months for the COD of the first unit, with a phase gap of six (6) months between successive units and accordingly, the project is to be reckoned as per the timeline indicated in the Investment Approval.

We hope the above clarifies the position.

Yours sincerely,

(US Mohanty)
AGM (Commercial)