

Sub: Submissions in matter of O.P. No. 14 of 2026 filed for amendment of licence of TGNPDCL and
in matter of O.P. No. 15 of 2026 filed for amendment of licence of TGSPDCL

Sir / Madam,

The amendment petitions by DISCOMS are filed towards end of April 2026. Stake holders opinion is also called before TGRPDCL related public hearing. By then and even now also there is no formal approval given to the cited TGRPDCL from the Honorable Commission. Processing this kind of petition in this way could signal that the Honorable Commission has decided to grant DISCOM licence to TGRPDCL even before considering stake holders and public hearing.

At first, DISCOM's licence is geographical specific and no provision in Electricity ACT 2003 allows DISCOMs to cater only for some category / set of consumers.

TGNPDCL / TGSPDCL could submit the amendment petition for different reason or for no reason too, but citing the reason of existence / incorporation of TGRPDCL, which actually not in existence at the time of filing the petition and even now also, is

err. There can be more than one DISCOM catering same consumers at the same time. This is against Section 14 of Electricity Act 2003 which reads as below.

"....Provided also that the Appropriate Commission may grant a licence to two or more persons for distribution of electricity through their own distribution system within the same area...."

Even as per Electricity Act 2003, Section 18 (2) (b) **NOC from Central Government (GoI) is explicitly mandatory** to effect amendment. This NOC is not about the consumer status but for existence of Defence structures in the effected geographical area. The petition has no mention of the same and is liable to be returned or called for additional submissions.

Granting of or amending licence related to category specific consumers is not suffice. DISCOMS' should take explicit concern from each consumer to transfer them.

I believe processing this petition and all related acts (gathering stake holders' opinion etc.,) is unwarranted at this juncture and is inappropriate. The said petition should be rejected. If not should be returned or should be kept in abeyance till there is other TGRPDCL DISCOM existence is confirmed.

Thanks and Regards
Kiran Kumar Vempati
H.No: 1-2-1/1, Opp.C.C Bank,
Sri Venkateswara Swamy temple road,
Near to M.M.Court Circle, Main road
Suryapet - 508213