

To,
The Commission Secretary,
Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission (TGERC),
Vidyut Niyantran Bhavan, Kalyan Nagar, G.T.S. Colony, Hyderabad – 500 045.
Email: secy-tgerc@telangana.gov.in

Subject: Objection to the petition (I.A. No. 4 in O.P. No. 24 of 2020) filed by TGSPDCL seeking reduction of the pre-fixed levelised tariff from Rs. 3.13/kWh to Rs. 2.98/kWh under Component-A of the PM-KUSUM Scheme – filed pursuant to the Public Notice dated 23.06.2026.

Particulars of the Objector (Solar Power Generator):

Name of the SPG / Farmer: Jagan Jagan Mohan Reddy
Application No.: TG PMKUSUM-A/24-13/1721
PPA No. and Date: 174
Capacity (MW): 2.0 MW (2.0)
Village / Mandal / District: Yellanda / Tanagagan / JANGAON
Mobile No.: 9441018892

Respected Sir / Madam,

Being a Solar Power Generator under Component-A of the PM-KUSUM Scheme, bound by a PPA that fixes my tariff at Rs. 3.13/kWh for 25 years, I am directly and adversely affected by the relief sought in I.A. No. 4 of 2026. I place the following objection before this Hon'ble Commission for its kind consideration:

Ground 1: GST Reduction Creates No New Cost Advantage – the Benchmark Was Already Fixed Under a 5% GST Regime

The very premise of the petition is factually incorrect. This Hon'ble Commission fixed the benchmark capital cost of Rs. 3.60 Crore/MW by adopting the MNRE Office Memorandum dated 21.07.2020 for FY 2020-21 (Paras 12 and 68 of the Order in O.P. No. 24 of 2020). During FY 2020-21 the GST on solar equipment was already 5%. The tariff of Rs. 3.13/kWh was therefore never built on a 12% GST structure. GST was 5% up to 30.09.2021, 12% from 01.10.2021, and has now been reduced again to 5% – i.e. it has merely reverted to the very level that prevailed when the benchmark was adopted. The present 'change' therefore yields no new cost advantage and gives no factual or legal basis for any reduction. Given the inflation in equipment, steel, labour, civil works, financing and O&M costs since FY 2020-21, an honest review would justify continuation of the existing tariff or an upward revision – certainly not a cut.

Ground 2: Change in Law Does Not Mean Automatic Tariff Reduction

A Change in Law event requires determination of the actual impact, actual savings and actual financial consequences before any tariff consequence can follow. It does not permit a hypothetical, estimated or assumed reduction. The petition proceeds on an assumed reduction of roughly 7% in capital cost, without establishing the actual saving for any individual project, and is therefore unsustainable.

In the premises, it is prayed that the relief sought in the petition be rejected, the pre-fixed levelised tariff of Rs. 3.13/kWh be retained, and, in the alternative, that no revision be ordered without a comprehensive, project-wise review of all cost parameters.

Yours faithfully,

Jagan
(Signature)

To,
The Commission Secretary,
Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission (TGERC),
Vidyut Nyantran Bhavan, Kalyan Nagar, G.T.S. Colony, Hyderabad - 500 045.
Email: secy-tgerc@telangana.gov.in

Subject: Objection to the petition (I.A. No. 4 in O.P. No. 24 of 2020) filed by TGSPDCL seeking reduction of the pre-fixed levelised tariff from Rs. 3.13/kWh to Rs. 2.98/kWh under Component-A of the PM-KUSUM Scheme — filed pursuant to the Public Notice dated 23.06.2026.

Particulars of the Objector (Solar Power Generator):

Name of the SPG / Farmer: Chirra Ashokreddy
Application No.: TG/PMKUSUM-A/24-25/01278
PPA No. and Date: 534, 6/10/25
Capacity (MW): 1mw
Village / Mandal / District: Hajipoo/Achampet / nagarkurnool
Mobile No.: 98500 799 88

Respected Sir / Madam,

Being a Solar Power Generator under Component-A of the PM-KUSUM Scheme, bound by a PPA that fixes my tariff at Rs. 3.13/kWh for 25 years, I am directly and adversely affected by the relief sought in I.A. No. 4 of 2026. I place the following objection before this Hon'ble Commission for its kind consideration:

Ground 1: GST Reduction Creates No New Cost Advantage — the Benchmark Was Already Fixed Under a 5% GST Regime

The very premise of the petition is factually incorrect. This Hon'ble Commission fixed the benchmark capital cost of Rs. 3.60 Crore/MW by adopting the MNRE Office Memorandum dated 21.07.2020 for FY 2020-21 (Paras 12 and 68 of the Order in O.P. No. 24 of 2020). During FY 2020-21 the GST on solar equipment was already 5%. The tariff of Rs. 3.13/kWh was therefore never built on a 12% GST structure. GST was 5% up to 30.09.2021, 12% from 01.10.2021, and has now been reduced again to 5% — i.e. it has merely reverted to the very level that prevailed when the benchmark was adopted. The present 'change' therefore yields no new cost advantage and gives no factual or legal basis for any reduction. Given the inflation in equipment, steel, labour, civil works, financing and O&M costs since FY 2020-21, an honest review would justify continuation of the existing tariff or an upward revision — certainly not a cut.

Ground 2: Change in Law Does Not Mean Automatic Tariff Reduction

A Change in Law event requires determination of the actual impact, actual savings and actual financial consequences before any tariff consequence can follow. It does not permit a hypothetical, estimated or assumed reduction. The petition proceeds on an assumed reduction of roughly 7% in capital cost, without establishing the actual saving for any individual project, and is therefore unsustainable.

In the premises, it is prayed that the relief sought in the petition be rejected, the pre-fixed levelised tariff of Rs. 3.13/kWh be retained, and, in the alternative, that no revision be ordered without a comprehensive, project-wise review of all cost parameters.

Yours faithfully,

(Signature)

To,

The Commission Secretary,

Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission (TGERC),

Vidyut Niyamtran Bhavan, Kalyan Nagar, G.T.S. Colony, Hyderabad – 500 045.

Email: secy-tgerc@telangana.gov.in

Subject: Objection to the petition (I.A. No. 4 in O.P. No. 24 of 2020) filed by TGSPDCL seeking reduction of the pre-fixed levelised tariff from Rs. 3.13/kWh to Rs. 2.98/kWh under Component-A of the PM-KUSUM Scheme – filed pursuant to the Public Notice dated 23.06.2026.

Particulars of the Objector (Solar Power Generator):

Name of the SPG / Farmer: Alampic Yadharsana

Application No.: TG PM KUSUM-A/24-25/1692

PPA No. and Date: 136/18-06-2025

Capacity (MW): 1

Village / Mandal / District: Peddaperdya / Hanamakonda / Hanamakonda

Mobile No.: 9701152230

Respected Sir / Madam,

Being a Solar Power Generator under Component-A of the PM-KUSUM Scheme, bound by a PPA that fixes my tariff at Rs. 3.13/kWh for 25 years, I am directly and adversely affected by the relief sought in I.A. No. 4 of 2026. I place the following objection before this Hon'ble Commission for its kind consideration:

Ground 1: GST Reduction Creates No New Cost Advantage – the Benchmark Was Already Fixed Under a 5% GST Regime

The very premise of the petition is factually incorrect. This Hon'ble Commission fixed the benchmark capital cost of Rs. 3.60 Crore/MW by adopting the MNRE Office Memorandum dated 21.07.2020 for FY 2020-21 (Paras 12 and 68 of the Order in O.P. No. 24 of 2020). During FY 2020-21 the GST on solar equipment was already 5%. The tariff of Rs. 3.13/kWh was therefore never built on a 12% GST structure. GST was 5% up to 30.09.2021, 12% from 01.10.2021, and has now been reduced again to 5% – i.e. it has merely reverted to the very level that prevailed when the benchmark was adopted. The present 'change' therefore yields no new cost advantage and gives no factual or legal basis for any reduction. Given the inflation in equipment, steel, labour, civil works, financing and O&M costs since FY 2020-21, an honest review would justify continuation of the existing tariff or an upward revision – certainly not a cut.

Ground 2: Change in Law Does Not Mean Automatic Tariff Reduction

A Change in Law event requires determination of the actual impact, actual savings and actual financial consequences before any tariff consequence can follow. It does not permit a hypothetical, estimated or assumed reduction. The petition proceeds on an assumed reduction of roughly 7% in capital cost, without establishing the actual saving for any individual project, and is therefore unsustainable.

In the premises, it is prayed that the relief sought in the petition be rejected, the pre-fixed levelised tariff of Rs. 3.13/kWh be retained, and, in the alternative, that no revision be ordered without a comprehensive, project-wise review of all cost parameters.

Yours faithfully,

Alampic Yadharsana
(Signature)

To,

The Commission Secretary,

Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission (TGERC),

Vidyut Niyamtran Bhavan, Kalyan Nagar, G.T.S. Colony, Hyderabad – 500 045.

Email: secy-tgerc@telangana.gov.in

Subject: Objection to the petition (I.A. No. 4 in O.P. No. 24 of 2020) filed by TGSPDCL seeking reduction of the pre-fixed levelised tariff from Rs. 3.13/kWh to Rs. 2.98/kWh under Component-A of the PM-KUSUM Scheme – filed pursuant to the Public Notice dated 23.06.2026.

Particulars of the Objector (Solar Power Generator):

Name of the SPG / Farmer: nudindini ravindar

Application No.: TG PMKUSUM-A/24-25/5030

PPA No. and Date: 130/16-06-2025

Capacity (MW): 1

Village / Mandal / District: nerella/ kamalapur/ Hanamakonda

Mobile No.: 9000954409

Respected Sir / Madam,

Being a Solar Power Generator under Component-A of the PM-KUSUM Scheme, bound by a PPA that fixes my tariff at Rs. 3.13/kWh for 25 years, I am directly and adversely affected by the relief sought in I.A. No. 4 of 2026. I place the following objection before this Hon'ble Commission for its kind consideration:

Ground 1: GST Reduction Creates No New Cost Advantage – the Benchmark Was Already Fixed Under a 5% GST Regime

The very premise of the petition is factually incorrect. This Hon'ble Commission fixed the benchmark capital cost of Rs. 3.60 Crore/MW by adopting the MNRE Office Memorandum dated 21.07.2020 for FY 2020-21 (Paras 12 and 68 of the Order in O.P. No. 24 of 2020). During FY 2020-21 the GST on solar equipment was already 5%. The tariff of Rs. 3.13/kWh was therefore never built on a 12% GST structure. GST was 5% up to 30.09.2021, 12% from 01.10.2021, and has now been reduced again to 5% – i.e. it has merely reverted to the very level that prevailed when the benchmark was adopted. The present 'change' therefore yields no new cost advantage and gives no factual or legal basis for any reduction. Given the inflation in equipment, steel, labour, civil works, financing and O&M costs since FY 2020-21, an honest review would justify continuation of the existing tariff or an upward revision – certainly not a cut.

Ground 2: Change in Law Does Not Mean Automatic Tariff Reduction

A Change in Law event requires determination of the actual impact, actual savings and actual financial consequences before any tariff consequence can follow. It does not permit a hypothetical, estimated or assumed reduction. The petition proceeds on an assumed reduction of roughly 7% in capital cost, without establishing the actual saving for any individual project, and is therefore unsustainable.

In the premises, it is prayed that the relief sought in the petition be rejected, the pre-fixed levelised tariff of Rs. 3.13/kWh be retained, and, in the alternative, that no revision be ordered without a comprehensive, project-wise review of all cost parameters.

Yours faithfully,

N. ravindar
(Signature)

To,
The Commission Secretary,
Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission (TGERC),
Vidyut Niyantran Bhavan, Kalyan Nagar, G.T.S. Colony, Hyderabad – 500 045.
Email: secy-tgerc@telangana.gov.in

Subject: Objection to the petition (I.A. No. 4 in O.P. No. 24 of 2020) filed by TGSPDCL seeking reduction of the pre-fixed levelised tariff from Rs. 3.13/kWh to Rs. 2.98/kWh under Component-A of the PM-KUSUM Scheme — filed pursuant to the Public Notice dated 23.06.2026.

Particulars of the Objector (Solar Power Generator):

Name of the SPG / Farmer: UPD RATAM RANIE

Application No.: 5568

PPA No. and Date: 283

Capacity (MW): 1.0 MW

Village / Mandal / District: MADANUR JARMOOR / NIZAMABAD

Mobile No.: 9440762807

Respected Sir / Madam,

Being a Solar Power Generator under Component-A of the PM-KUSUM Scheme, bound by a PPA that fixes my tariff at Rs. 3.13/kWh for 25 years, I am directly and adversely affected by the relief sought in I.A. No. 4 of 2026. I place the following objection before this Hon'ble Commission for its kind consideration:

Ground 1: GST Reduction Creates No New Cost Advantage — the Benchmark Was Already Fixed Under a 5% GST Regime

The very premise of the petition is factually incorrect. This Hon'ble Commission fixed the benchmark capital cost of Rs. 3.60 Crore/MW by adopting the MNRE Office Memorandum dated 21.07.2020 for FY 2020-21 (Paras 12 and 68 of the Order in O.P. No. 24 of 2020). During FY 2020-21 the GST on solar equipment was already 5%. The tariff of Rs. 3.13/kWh was therefore never built on a 12% GST structure. GST was 5% up to 30.09.2021, 12% from 01.10.2021, and has now been reduced again to 5% — i.e. it has merely reverted to the very level that prevailed when the benchmark was adopted. The present 'change' therefore yields no new cost advantage and gives no factual or legal basis for any reduction. Given the inflation in equipment, steel, labour, civil works, financing and O&M costs since FY 2020-21, an honest review would justify continuation of the existing tariff or an upward revision — certainly not a cut.

Ground 2: Change in Law Does Not Mean Automatic Tariff Reduction

A Change in Law event requires determination of the actual impact, actual savings and actual financial consequences before any tariff consequence can follow. It does not permit a hypothetical, estimated or assumed reduction. The petition proceeds on an assumed reduction of roughly 7% in capital cost, without establishing the actual saving for any individual project, and is therefore unsustainable.

In the premises, it is prayed that the relief sought in the petition be rejected, the pre-fixed levelised tariff of Rs. 3.13/kWh be retained, and, in the alternative, that no revision be ordered without a comprehensive, project-wise review of all cost parameters.

Yours faithfully,
(Signature)

Name: UPD RATAM RANIE

PPA No.: 283

Place: HYDRABAD

Date: 30/06/2026

To,

The Commission Secretary,

Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission (TGERC),

Vidyut Niyantran Bhavan, Kalyan Nagar, G.T.S. Colony, Hyderabad - 500 045.

Email: secy-tgerc@telangana.gov.in

Subject: Objection to the petition (I.A. No. 4 in O.P. No. 24 of 2020) filed by TGSPDCL seeking reduction of the pre-fixed levelised tariff from Rs. 3.13/kWh to Rs. 2.98/kWh under Component-A of the PM-KUSUM Scheme – filed pursuant to the Public Notice dated 23.06.2026.

Particulars of the Objector (Solar Power Generator):

Name of the SPG / Farmer: Padma Raju B

Application No.: _____

PPA No. and Date: 55 2026 Nov.

Capacity (MW): 2

Village / Mandal / District: Yellamuri / Madhukal Mandal / Boguluru Revenue

Mobile No.: 9160021922

Respected Sir / Madam,

Being a Solar Power Generator under Component-A of the PM-KUSUM Scheme, bound by a PPA that fixes my tariff at Rs. 3.13/kWh for 25 years, I am directly and adversely affected by the relief sought in I.A. No. 4 of 2026. I place the following objection before this Hon'ble Commission for its kind consideration:

Ground 1: GST Reduction Creates No New Cost Advantage – the Benchmark Was Already Fixed Under a 5% GST Regime

The very premise of the petition is factually incorrect. This Hon'ble Commission fixed the benchmark capital cost of Rs. 3.60 Crore/MW by adopting the MNRE Office Memorandum dated 21.07.2020 for FY 2020-21 (Paras 12 and 68 of the Order in O.P. No. 24 of 2020). During FY 2020-21 the GST on solar equipment was already 5%. The tariff of Rs. 3.13/kWh was therefore never built on a 12% GST structure. GST was 5% up to 30.09.2021, 12% from 01.10.2021, and has now been reduced again to 5% – i.e. it has merely reverted to the very level that prevailed when the benchmark was adopted. The present 'change' therefore yields no new cost advantage and gives no factual or legal basis for any reduction. Given the inflation in equipment, steel, labour, civil works, financing and O&M costs since FY 2020-21, an honest review would justify continuation of the existing tariff or an upward revision – certainly not a cut.

Ground 2: Change in Law Does Not Mean Automatic Tariff Reduction

A Change in Law event requires determination of the actual impact, actual savings and actual financial consequences before any tariff consequence can follow. It does not permit a hypothetical, estimated or assumed reduction. The petition proceeds on an assumed reduction of roughly 7% in capital cost, without establishing the actual saving for any individual project, and is therefore unsustainable.

In the premises, it is prayed that the relief sought in the petition be rejected, the pre-fixed levelised tariff of Rs. 3.13/kWh be retained, and, in the alternative, that no revision be ordered without a comprehensive, project-wise review of all cost parameters.

Yours faithfully,

(Signature)

To,
The Commission Secretary,
Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission (TGERC),
Vidyut Niyantran Bhavan, Kalyan Nagar, G.T.S. Colony, Hyderabad - 500 045.
Email: secy-tgerc@telangana.gov.in

Subject: Objection to the petition (I.A. No. 4 in O.P. No. 24 of 2020) filed by TGSPDCL seeking reduction of the pre-fixed levelised tariff from Rs. 3.13/kWh to Rs. 2.98/kWh under Component-A of the PM-KUSUM Scheme – filed pursuant to the Public Notice dated 23.06.2026.

Particulars of the Objector (Solar Power Generator):

Name of the SPG / Farmer: S. Giridhar Reddy

Application No.: _____

PPA No. and Date: 110

Capacity (MW): 2MW

Village / Mandal / District: Madgula

Mobile No.: 9391941999

Respected Sir / Madam,

Being a Solar Power Generator under Component-A of the PM-KUSUM Scheme, bound by a PPA that fixes my tariff at Rs. 3.13/kWh for 25 years, I am directly and adversely affected by the relief sought in I.A. No. 4 of 2026. I place the following objection before this Hon'ble Commission for its kind consideration:

Ground 1: GST Reduction Creates No New Cost Advantage – the Benchmark Was Already Fixed Under a 5% GST Regime

The very premise of the petition is factually incorrect. This Hon'ble Commission fixed the benchmark capital cost of Rs. 3.60 Crore/MW by adopting the MNRE Office Memorandum dated 21.07.2020 for FY 2020-21 (Paras 12 and 68 of the Order in O.P. No. 24 of 2020). During FY 2020-21 the GST on solar equipment was already 5%. The tariff of Rs. 3.13/kWh was therefore never built on a 12% GST structure. GST was 5% up to 30.09.2021, 12% from 01.10.2021, and has now been reduced again to 5% – i.e. it has merely reverted to the very level that prevailed when the benchmark was adopted. The present 'change' therefore yields no new cost advantage and gives no factual or legal basis for any reduction. Given the inflation in equipment, steel, labour, civil works, financing and O&M costs since FY 2020-21, an honest review would justify continuation of the existing tariff or an upward revision – certainly not a cut.

Ground 2: Change in Law Does Not Mean Automatic Tariff Reduction

A Change in Law event requires determination of the actual impact, actual savings and actual financial consequences before any tariff consequence can follow. It does not permit a hypothetical, estimated or assumed reduction. The petition proceeds on an assumed reduction of roughly 7% in capital cost, without establishing the actual saving for any individual project, and is therefore unsustainable.

In the premises, it is prayed that the relief sought in the petition be rejected, the pre-fixed levelised tariff of Rs. 3.13/kWh be retained, and, in the alternative, that no revision be ordered without a comprehensive, project-wise review of all cost parameters.

Yours faithfully,

(Signature) S. Reddy

To,

The Commission Secretary,

Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission (TGERC),

Vidyut Nyantran Bhavan, Kalyan Nagar, G.T.S. Colony, Hyderabad – 500 045.

Email: secy-tgerc@telangana.gov.in

Subject: Objection to the petition (I.A. No. 4 in O.P. No. 24 of 2020) filed by TGSPDCL seeking reduction of the pre-fixed levelised tariff from Rs. 3.13/kWh to Rs. 2.98/kWh under Component-A of the PM-KUSUM Scheme — filed pursuant to the Public Notice dated 23.06.2026.

Particulars of the Objector (Solar Power Generator):

Name of the SPG / Farmer: Akula Chinna

Application No.: 5988

PPA No. and Date: 114 12/06/2025

Capacity (MW): 1.0

Village / Mandal / District: Muchkw, Bheemgal, Nizamabad

Mobile No.: 9010661512

Respected Sir / Madam,

Being a Solar Power Generator under Component-A of the PM-KUSUM Scheme, bound by a PPA that fixes my tariff at Rs. 3.13/kWh for 25 years, I am directly and adversely affected by the relief sought in I.A. No. 4 of 2026. I place the following objection before this Hon'ble Commission for its kind consideration:

Ground 1: GST Reduction Creates No New Cost Advantage — the Benchmark Was Already Fixed Under a 5% GST Regime

The very premise of the petition is factually incorrect. This Hon'ble Commission fixed the benchmark capital cost of Rs. 3.60 Crore/MW by adopting the MNRE Office Memorandum dated 21.07.2020 for FY 2020-21 (Paras 12 and 68 of the Order in O.P. No. 24 of 2020). During FY 2020-21 the GST on solar equipment was already 5%. The tariff of Rs. 3.13/kWh was therefore never built on a 12% GST structure. GST was 5% up to 30.09.2021, 12% from 01.10.2021, and has now been reduced again to 5% — i.e. it has merely reverted to the very level that prevailed when the benchmark was adopted. The present 'change' therefore yields no new cost advantage and gives no factual or legal basis for any reduction. Given the inflation in equipment, steel, labour, civil works, financing and O&M costs since FY 2020-21, an honest review would justify continuation of the existing tariff or an upward revision — certainly not a cut.

Ground 2: Change in Law Does Not Mean Automatic Tariff Reduction

A Change in Law event requires determination of the actual impact, actual savings and actual financial consequences before any tariff consequence can follow. It does not permit a hypothetical, estimated or assumed reduction. The petition proceeds on an assumed reduction of roughly 7% in capital cost, without establishing the actual saving for any individual project, and is therefore unsustainable.

In the premises, it is prayed that the relief sought in the petition be rejected, the pre-fixed levelised tariff of Rs. 3.13/kWh be retained, and, in the alternative, that no revision be ordered without a comprehensive, project-wise review of all cost parameters.

Yours faithfully,

(Signature)

Name: Akula Chinna

PPA No.: 114

Place: Nizamabad

Date: 30/6/26

To,

The Commission Secretary,

Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission (TGERC),

Vidyut Niyamtran Bhavan, Kalyan Nagar, G.T.S. Colony, Hyderabad - 500 045.

Email: secy-tgerc@telangana.gov.in

Subject: Objection to the petition (I.A. No. 4 in O.P. No. 24 of 2020) filed by TGSPDCL seeking reduction of the pre-fixed levelised tariff from Rs. 3.13/kWh to Rs. 2.98/kWh under Component-A of the PM-KUSUM Scheme – filed pursuant to the Public Notice dated 23.06.2026.

Particulars of the Objector (Solar Power Generator):

Name of the SPG / Farmer: Thota Kura Naveen Yadav

Application No.: _____

PPA No. and Date: _____

Capacity (MW): 2. MW

Village / Mandal / District: Bijilapur Mothur Yadadri

Mobile No.: 9246508311

Respected Sir / Madam,

Being a Solar Power Generator under Component-A of the PM-KUSUM Scheme, bound by a PPA that fixes my tariff at Rs. 3.13/kWh for 25 years, I am directly and adversely affected by the relief sought in I.A. No. 4 of 2026. I place the following objection before this Hon'ble Commission for its kind consideration:

Ground 1: GST Reduction Creates No New Cost Advantage – the Benchmark Was Already Fixed Under a 5% GST Regime

The very premise of the petition is factually incorrect. This Hon'ble Commission fixed the benchmark capital cost of Rs. 3.60 Crore/MW by adopting the MNRE Office Memorandum dated 21.07.2020 for FY 2020-21 (Paras 12 and 68 of the Order in O.P. No. 24 of 2020). During FY 2020-21 the GST on solar equipment was already 5%. The tariff of Rs. 3.13/kWh was therefore never built on a 12% GST structure. GST was 5% up to 30.09.2021, 12% from 01.10.2021, and has now been reduced again to 5% – i.e. it has merely reverted to the very level that prevailed when the benchmark was adopted. The present 'change' therefore yields no new cost advantage and gives no factual or legal basis for any reduction. Given the inflation in equipment, steel, labour, civil works, financing and O&M costs since FY 2020-21, an honest review would justify continuation of the existing tariff or an upward revision – certainly not a cut.

Ground 2: Change in Law Does Not Mean Automatic Tariff Reduction

A Change in Law event requires determination of the actual impact, actual savings and actual financial consequences before any tariff consequence can follow. It does not permit a hypothetical, estimated or assumed reduction. The petition proceeds on an assumed reduction of roughly 7% in capital cost, without establishing the actual saving for any individual project, and is therefore unsustainable.

In the premises, it is prayed that the relief sought in the petition be rejected, the pre-fixed levelised tariff of Rs. 3.13/kWh be retained, and, in the alternative, that no revision be ordered without a comprehensive, project-wise review of all cost parameters.

Yours faithfully,

(Signature)

T. Naveen Yadav