

Objections/Suggestions raised on Annual Tariff Petition for the FY 2025-26 by TGDISCOMs

Sl. No.	Objections/Suggestions	Reply
1	<p>i. Adoption of Approved Numbers by TGGENCO for Fixed Charges without Revised Estimates:</p> <p>TGGENCO has adopted the approved numbers for Fixed Charges from the MYT Order dated 28.10.2024 for FY 2025-26 without providing revised estimates. This is in deviation with the Regulation 2 of 2023, which mandates the submission of revised tariff proposals for the ensuing year of the Control Period. The absence of revised estimates will affect TG DISCOMs during the true-up process, leading to additional burden on TGDISCOMs. If the fixed charges during the true-up are higher than anticipated, TGDISCOMs will face significant financial implications. Higher fixed charges will increase the overall cost burden on TGDISCOMs, potentially leading to increased tariffs for end consumers or financial strain on the utility. This can result in cash flow issues and hinder the ability of TGDISCOMs to invest in infrastructure and service improvements.</p>	<p>The Multi Year Tariff filing for 5th Control Period (FY: 2024-25 to FY: 2028-29) has been filed before Hon'ble TGERC.</p> <p>Hon'ble TGERC approved the fixed charges for the FY: 2025-26 vide MYT Order Dt. 28.10.2024 after Prudence check.</p> <p>TGGENCO has adopted the fixed charges as approved by the Commission for FY: 2025-26.</p>
2	<p>ii. Deviation with Commission's Order on Integrated Mine Prices for Calculation of Energy Charges:</p> <p>Hon'ble Commission in its order has directed TGGENCO to file a petition along with relevant information for determination of input coal price of</p>	<p>Integrated Mine (Tadicherla-I) for KTHP-Stage-II was commissioned on Dt. 28.04.2018.</p> <p>TGERC Regulation 1 of 2019 doesn't specify the determination of Input Price of Coal</p>

Objections/Suggestions raised on Annual Tariff Petition for the FY 2025-26 by TGDISCOMs

<p>coal. The relevant extract of the order is provided below:</p> <p>“----- 6.1.5 The Input Price of the coal shall be determined from the COD of the mine. The Commission directs the Petitioner to approach the Commission a fresh with appropriate petition along with all other relevant documents for determination of Input Price of coal from COD of the mine. In case the petitioner fails to file the petition as directed by the Commission for determination of input price of coal from COD of the mine, the Commission shall not determine the tariff for KTPP-II.”</p> <p>TGGENCO has not included the integrated mine prices for the calculation of Energy Charges and has deviated with the Commission's order to file an appropriate petition for the determination of the input price of coal from the COD of the mine. According to the regulation, the energy charge component of the tariff should be determined based on the input price of coal from integrated mines. The non-inclusion of integrated mine prices can lead to under-recovery of actual energy costs, this can result in higher true-up amounts, further increasing the financial burden on TG DISCOMs. It can also lead to higher tariffs for consumers, reducing the affordability of electricity and potentially increasing the incidence of non-payment or delayed payments. Additionally, if this leads to a delay in determine the tariff for KTPP-II can</p>	<p>from Integrated Mine (Captive Mine).</p> <p>The Input Price of Coal has not been determined by the Commission for 4th Control Period (FY: 2019-20 to FY: 2023-24). Considering the same, the True-up of Input Price of Coal from Integrated Mine for FY: 2023-24 is not applicable.</p> <p>Accordingly, the True-up for Input Price of Coal from Integrated Mine for FY: 2023-24 is not Proposed in the Petition.</p> <p>As per the TGERC Regulation 2 of 2023 for 5th Control Period (FY: 2024-25 to FY: 2028-29) TGGENCO has to file the petition for determination of Input Price of Coal from Integrated Mine.</p> <p>The MYT petition for 5th Control Period (FY: 2024-25 to FY: 2028-29) has been filed before the Hon'ble TGERC along with the proposal for determination of Input Price of Coal from Integrated Mine (Tadicherla-I).</p>
--	--

Objections/Suggestions raised on Annual Tariff Petition for the FY 2025-26 by TGDISCOMs

	<p>result in financial uncertainty and potential revenue losses for TGDISCOMs. It may also lead to increased financial liabilities and reduced creditworthiness, impacting future borrowing and investment capabilities.</p>	<p>In this regard, Hon'ble TGERC directed TGGENCO to approach the Commission afresh with appropriate petition along with all other documents for determination of Input Price of Coal from COD of the Mine.</p> <p>TGGENCO is in the Process of filing of the petition for determination of Input Price of Coal from the Integrated Mine (Tadicherla-I) from the COD of the Mine.</p>																		
<p>3</p>	<p>iii. Deviation with Commission's Order issued on fixed charges of TGDISCOMs Tariff Order & MYT Order Dt:28.10.2024:</p> <p>It is observed that in the filing of Annual Tariff that there is a difference between the fixed charges approved by Hon'ble TGERC as per TGDISCOMs tariff order & MYT order issued on Dt: 28.10.2024. In this regard, Hon'ble TGERC is requested to allow the fixed charges only after prudence check.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="212 1150 1317 1441"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Station</th> <th colspan="2">Fixed Charges as approved by Hon'ble TGERC (In Crores)</th> <th rowspan="2">Difference</th> </tr> <tr> <th>As per TGDISCOMs Tariff order dt:28.10.2024</th> <th>As per MYT Order Dt:28.10.2024</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Thermal</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>KTPS-V</td> <td>380.62</td> <td>380.62</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>KTPS-VI</td> <td>442.36</td> <td>442.36</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Station	Fixed Charges as approved by Hon'ble TGERC (In Crores)		Difference	As per TGDISCOMs Tariff order dt:28.10.2024	As per MYT Order Dt:28.10.2024	Thermal				KTPS-V	380.62	380.62	0	KTPS-VI	442.36	442.36	0	<p>TGGENCO has claimed the fixed charges for FY: 2025-26 as approved by the Hon'ble TGERC vide Order Dt: 28.10.2024.</p> <p>In respect of Priyadarshini Jurala (PJHES) the fixed charges shall be shared between TGDISCOMs and ESCOMs of Karnataka in the ratio of 50:50 as per the PPA.</p> <p>TGGENCO has filed separate petition for determination of Provisional tariff in respect of YTPS (5X800 MW).</p>
Station	Fixed Charges as approved by Hon'ble TGERC (In Crores)		Difference																	
	As per TGDISCOMs Tariff order dt:28.10.2024	As per MYT Order Dt:28.10.2024																		
Thermal																				
KTPS-V	380.62	380.62	0																	
KTPS-VI	442.36	442.36	0																	

Objections/Suggestions raised on Annual Tariff Petition for the FY 2025-26 by TGDISCOMs

KTPS-VII	1300.22	1300.22	0
RTS-B	0	0	0
KTPP-I	439.53	439.53	0
KTPP-II	670.48	670.48	0
BTPS	1432.5	1432.5	0
YTPS	7218.47		7218.47
Thermal Sub-Total:	11884.18	4665.71	7218.47
Hydro			
Nagarjuna Sagar Complex	316.33	316.33	0
Srisaillam LB (SLBHES)	380.5	385.8	-5.3
Small Hydel	52.74	52.74	0
Mini Hydel	10.79	10.79	0
Pochampad-II	10.2	10.2	0
Priyadarshini Jurala (PJHES)	46.1 (50% share of TG DISCOMs)	92.2	-46.1
Lower Jurala (LJHES)	215.59	215.59	0
Pulichintala (PCHES)	93.67	93.67	0
Hydro Sub-Total:	1125.92	1177.32	-51.4


 Chief Engineer
 Coal & Commercial
 TGGENCO, V.S. Hyderabad-82.