

From: kiranvempati@rediffmail.com

To: ractsspdcl@gmail.com, "Ramchander Vyasabhattu" <secy@tserc.gov.in>

Sent: Tuesday, February 25, 2025 11:23:19 PM

Subject: Objections / Suggestions on TGSPDCL filings as per public notice dated 07 Feb 2025 - Reg

Sub: Objections / Suggestions on TGSPDCL filings as per public notice dated 07 Feb 2025 - Reg

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please find below points towards the TGSPDCL filings.

In Form 26 (Revenue from Sale of power in FY 2025-26), 42MU energy Sales to zero (0) consumer of category LT III Industry (Poultry Farms) is shown.

Energy requirement to this extent can be discarded to reduce power purchase cost.

Many HT categories and sub-categories are also shown having zero consumers with zero contracted demand but sale is projected.

Around 54cr Rs of Customer charges are collected from about 15 lakh LT V (Agriculture), non-corporate farmers.

It has been quite a long time farmers saw a direct benefit. Please kindly consider waiving this 54cr customer charges too and make Electricity for Agriculture / Farmers FREE in real sense.

As per Format 8(a) near to one lakh service connection applications are pending for more than 6 months. 50% of the pending connections are Agriculture services. There should be a proper action plan to reduce delay.

In Format 10, near to 2000 court cases were shown as pending. There is no mention of how many cases TGSPDCL is petitioner (TGSPDCL Initiated) and how many cases are non-service matter cases. There are incidents where officers deceiving the incidents as if in the interest of company and preferring cases and wasting TGSPDCL money to save burnt on their paycheck for their inactions on orders / directions.

As per Format 11 (**Number of cases filed in respect of pilferage of power**) in current filings, page no 241 (last page) of the pdf document.

For FY 2023-24, no of cases 173476 booked to realize 118cr of assessment amount out of 157cr provisional assessment amount.

Whereas for the same period FY 2023-24, the numbers were shown as, 826955 cases, realized amount 107cr against provisional assessment amount of 138cr on page no 357 (last page) of the pdf document filed in respect of ARR for RSB for 5th Control period dated 18 Sept 2024.

Consistency should be maintained for not to believe reports are namesake and fake.

No clue, towards which head such realized amount is adjusted to.

For LT consumers Fixed charges supposed to be collected on Contracted Load not on Demand (RMD). Load and Demand are not same.

Advance meter readings are resulting in higher slab tariff billing, which is against natural principles and anti consumer billing. Electricity Supply Code or GTCS didn't have a mention to do same. I didn't find any direction / circular / regulation detailed such billing calculation.

LT I (B) and LT 1 (C) stab structure is not available as per RST orders. Nomenclature should be consistent.

FY 2024-25 figures are approved and available, could have used those figures than still referring FY 2024-25 projected figures in most of the citations.

Thanks and Regards

Kiran Kumar Vempati

H.No.1-2-1/1, [Opp.CC Bank](#),

Sri Venkateswaraswamy Temple Road,
Near MM Court Circle, Suryapet-508213